Application No. 10/761,085 Paper Dated: April 5, 2006

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 9, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 3744-040050

## **REMARKS**

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicates that claims 1-22 of the present application would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office Action. Accordingly, claims 1 and 17 have been amended pursuant to the Examiner's suggestions. Also, non-elected claims 22-30 have been cancelled.

In view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, "as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention."

Herein, claims 1 and 17 have been amended to obviate the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Specifically, the phrase "non-curing" in claim 1, line 12 and claim 17, line 13 has been amended as "non-cured", and the word "light" has been included in claim 17, line 9, all pursuant to the Examiner's suggestions. Based on the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1 and 17 is respectfully requested.

Non-elected claims 22-30 have been cancelled. Applicants reserve the right to pursue claims 22-30 in a divisional application.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, Applicants believe that claims 1-22 are in condition for allowance, and a notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

William H. Logsdon

Registration No.: 22,132 Attorney for Applicants 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: 412-471-8815 Facsimile: 412-471-4094

E-mail webblaw@webblaw.com